

March 89

CII + VN

1. Recent work on CII (Blight) speaks of "lessons learned" from CII - citation, rather than (McN's view) as if all no ref. to eq. later behavior, refugee to VN — as if same people had not gone to VN 2 yrs later!

Using same approach?

Might tf have failed to learn certain (wrong) important "lessons" — or learned some wrong ones? truths

18 years ago, in the midst of the last, most violent decade of the 30-year Salvadoran War, I addressed the question. It had been raised the previous year — by Sandinistas + PFK

and the following year, by George ...

Now, McN confuses ... (= Blight...) [eq. ref to VN = book?] (no notion of future...)

See, in both cases, McN's satisfaction that he was (only) saving US (world) from JCS strategy... less more brutal, (less legal?) more risky + shortsighted not more effective

(This Pres determination — to do somethg; McN B
etc, etc, being both "legal" and "unethical"

Pres determines Pres' (+ bearing of Art. Veto;
judge + jury Supreme Military...
+ Pres." (AG) unless captured!)

or suspended?

(Does Super Court feel that
it has no jurisdiction over Pres in case of
war/power, actual seizure? Article 23?
(or votes).

can't overrule Pres' judgment
no role for court?
(or just — too dangerous for Court
to return?)

Communist strategies continue, whether within or
as allies. (See Persian Gulf...) Ric; Libya; Panama (!)

1973: abd. bala shams: "I'm willing to do somethg despite its risk of
war; you better not start one war;" and "I will create a risk of

Loss back at CII in light of (McN's assessment)
of milag - VN - with different result.

And loss at VN in light of new knowledge of Cuba II

(e.g. Mongoose = 34A

with intention in 1970

(34A still went)

meas. paper

for-PP (after & had read,
copied, given to Soviets;
written Q.M.
but not returned.

← I didn't yet know of
Nor Ult, or FUD tent,
Moscow Thing
(would discuss!)

- But I did expect further
esc - at least, defining
"to avoid losing."
Fall of 70 (election).
See Tog...
re-read!

— Much is lost -
on commun strategy
- 6 months later

(I had not expected Cambodia,
in absence of NVN offensive;

Did N "see" from Cuba II?

on RPLB platform, on "Reeducation"

Dove + Davis: 1971

Dove + Snake 1974 (8 far enough from Nor Ult)
or on Mongoose

See N relation to Cuba I! + Cuba! Robey
call for guarantee in CII (Secret Tents)

sys. + doce:

WG/me

(POS? a small faction/stim in US policies,
a cover (that has not (?) investigated)

CFR paper, p. 13

But N Rules' Dashed on Camb II;

and all N states - lessons of Camb II

+ LBJ to N, 1969!

What did N (& LBJ) learn for Camb II?!

LBJ was there - in Camb II - just like ambidexes!
(+ don't mention L, in CFR paper!)

SEE N UCT, Nov '69 - closer to CI
than 1964-65!

But on McG B; he is way to derive N
"initially to treatise"

or to say further was due of the
strategic context;

Have effects at current step - mainly
recents - ended, with point?

or, effects that are not bluffs, utif
(bluffs, less so than with JFK!)

1973 - (and 1969?) - threat of use,

and possible loss of control (and open SAC alert)

are same threat as in Camb II:

(and Berlin!)

see factors of public support (electoral

demos

CO

trust...)

questioned under-rated of Alex George

and legitimacy / legality / morality / right

ignored by AG

(as a factor for public, allied publics, and resolution
of leaders).

Coercion

All can claim that this approach "minimizes violence"
(consistent with "doing the job");

- 1) Small, steady violence: no threat of invasion { "hit hard",
prolonged:
Korea, VN}
- 2) ~~these small~~^{long}, V, with threat of more.
- 3) Threat of, of much more.
- 4) Sharp, sudden, "clean" violence
(e.g. "martial strike" - aircrafts
"clean FS, 1961")
- 5) All-out violence (SCS)
- 6) Tension, small, or large (city-bombing).
- 7) NVR (violence of to ~~members~~).

Issues: Is the violence (as who?) justified
a) if it 'succeeds' quickly, as hoped.

- b) if it does not succeed quickly, and either
- is expanded ↗
 - is continued ↗ attempts
continues
fails
 - is halted (with failure)

the effect of each factor (or means) on the odds, and
the prospects, and the costs, of choosing various subsequent
courses.

Coercion - VN + Cuba II

- ✓ - Was VN (RT, 344) a price / cost of "success" in Cuba II?

Poony - understand, for Cuba II
and com-much of differences

- Is no PP for Cuba II
or VN, ^{most} 1968-75

- ✓ - Does credit union 34A ~ 1971

↓ " " " " : 1970

- Most of us (?) know of Mongoose

the as by present?

(or - Guinea (?) - Chin?
are white? Promotion?
= Jade Ridge?)

- ✓ - Did Soviets know of Slabov, 1964?

Communists took to at least fall '63

- Attention = method of coercion?
+ RT

(min)

- Soviets didn't know RWN stations, 1968-75 (but +

- Due threats as coercion;
Soviets used for coercion? ^{didn't}
MCN ("Not weapons"? Punished ~ 1970)

too many } "us-um-um" ^{strict} ^{strictly}
too brutal } ^{strict} ^{coercive}
of dispute officers? If games taught that, would need
to be open, and then
would be no new ones

On other side